

LAW OFFICES BARRY R. LIPSITZ

BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 8 755 MAIN STREET MONROE, CONNECTICUT 06468

PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS

2665 VI

BARRY R. LIPSITZ
DOUGLAS M. McALLISTER
RECFIVED

NOV 1 5 2004

In re Application of:

Application No.:

Filed: For:

Liu et al.

09/666,901 September 20, 2000

Technology Center 2600

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DETERMINING A TRANSMISSION BIT RATE IN A STATISTICAL MULTIPLEXER

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Art Unit: 2665

Examiner: S. Nguyen

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is:

[X] Response (4 pages);

Attorney Docket No.: GIC-620

[X] Return receipt postage prepaid postcard;

[X] I certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on November 5, 2004.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the payment of the required fee(s) or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0625.

Very truly yours,

Douglas M. McAllister Attorney for Applicant(s) Registration No. 37,886

Law Office of Barry R. Lipsitz

755 Main Street

Monroe, Connecticut 06468

(203) 459-0200



RECEIVED

NOV 1 5 2004

Technology Center 2600 PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Liu, et al.

Serial No.: 09/666,901

Filed: September 20, 2000

Examiner: S. Nguyen

Art Unit: 2665

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DETERMINING A TRANSMISSION BIT RATE

IN A STATISTICAL MULTIPLEXER

Mail Stop: AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on: November 5. 2004.

Signature: Carol Prentice

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

This Response is responsive to the Office Action mailed on September 20, 2004.

REMARKS

Summary

Claims 1-13 are pending in the application. The Examiner has indicated that claims 4-12 contain allowable subject matter.

Claims 1-3 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Balakrishnan (US 5,793,425).

Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections in view of the following comments.

Discussion of Balakrishnan

Claims 1-3 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as